Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/01/07 : CIA-RDP89-00066R000900010017-7

SUBJECT: Opinional Supplemental Retirement Legislation C/RD/EBS/CP						
C/RD/EBS/CP DATE 11 April 1986						
C/RD/EBS/CP TO: [Officer designation, room number, and building] TO: [Officer designation is accounted to show the building] TO: [Officer designation, room number, and building the substance of the series of the wild for any time. The series of the supplemental are two items: (1) additional quidelines which we forwarded to John Nelson of the SSCI on drafting of the supplemental retirement legislation. These were provided to John in conjunction with the HPSCI drafting quidance. John was to then discuss with the Senate staffers who are drafting the legislation; (2) a paper from OSC detailling their concerns on adverse impact of review by the Merit Systems Protection Board for appeals of CIA employees. TO: [Officer designation in the building provided to John in conjunction with the HPSCI drafting quidance. John was to then discuss with the Section of the supplemental retirement legislation. These were provided to John in conjunction with the HPSCI drafting quidance are two items. [1] additional quidelines which we forwarded to John Nelson of the SSCI on drafting quidance. John in conjunction with the HPSCI drafting quidance are two items. [1] additional quidelines which we forwarded to John in conjunction with the HPSCI drafting quidance. The conjunction with the HPSCI drafting quidance are two items. [1] additional quidelines which we forwarded to John in conjunction with the HPSCI drafting quidance. The conjunction with the HPSCI drafting quidance are two items. [1] additional quidelines which we form the conjunction with the HP	F			EXTENSION	NO.	
IO. (Officer designation, room number, and building) DATE DATE COMMENTS Number each comment to show from two whom. Drow a line across column offer each came to whom. Drow a line across came to whom. Drow a line across column offer each came to whom. Drow a line across column offer each came to whom. Drow a line across column offer each came to whom. Drow a line across column of the SCI of across came to whom. Drow a line across came to whom. Drow a line across column of the SCI offer across came to wh						
Ton (Officer designation, room number, and building) DATE stance Norwarded Norward	e	rations in		37.00	DATE SEE 11 April 1986	
Dollaring Doll						
RECIPTO PORWARDED		DA	\TE	OFFICERS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to them from	
1. Congressional Affairs 7B24 Hgs Attn: 2.	building)	DECEIVED.	FORWARDED			
7B24 HgS Attn: 2. Per our telecon, attached are two items: (1) additional guidelines which we forwarded to John Nelson of the SSCI on drafting of the supplemental retirement legislation. These were provided to John in conjunction with the HPSCI drafting guidance. John was to then discoss with the Senate staffers who are drafting the legislation; (2) a paper from GSC detailing their concerns on adverse impact of review by the Merit Systems Protection Board for appeals of CIA employees. Over to you on best legislative approach this issue. 9. 10. Attachments: a/s 11. 12.		RECEIVED	TORWARDED		nek	
Attn: 2.				A .	BOD,	
are two items: (1) additional guidelines which we forwarded to John Nelson of the SSCI on drafting of the supplemental retirement legislation. These were provided to John in conjunction with the HPSCI drafting guidance. John was to then discuss with the Senate staffers who are drafting the legislation; (2) a paper from OGC detailing their concerns on adverse impact of review by the Merit Systems Protection Board for appeals of CIA employees. Over to you on best legislative approach this issue. 9. 10. Attachments: a/s 11. 12.		·			Per our telecon attached	
guidelines which we forwarded to John Nelson of the SSCI on drafting of the supplemental retirement legislation. These were provided to John in conjunction with the HPSCI drafting guidance. John was to then discuss with the Senate staffers who are drafting the legislation; (2) a paper from OGC detailing their concerns on adverse impact of review by the Merit Systems Protection Board for appeals of CIA employees. Over to you on best legislative approach this issue. 9. 10. Attachments: a/s	,					
to John Nelson of the SSCI on drafting of the supplemental retirement legislation. These were provided to John in conjunction with the HPSCI drafting guidance. John was to then discuss with the Senate staffers who are drafting the legislation; (2) a paper from OGC detailing their concerns on adverse impact of review by the Merit Systems Protection Board for appeals of CIA employees. Over to you on best legislative approach this issue. 9. 10. Attachments: a/s	۷.		,			
drafting of the supplemental retirement legislation. These were provided to John in conjunction with the HPSCI drafting guidance. John was to then discuss with the Senate staffers who are drafting the legislation; (2) a paper from CGC detailing their concerns on adverse impact of review by the Merit Systems Protection Board for appeals of CIA employees. Over to you on best legislative approach this issue. 9. Attachments: a/s Attachments: a/s		<u> </u>				
retirement legislation. These were provided to John in conjunction with the HPSCI drafting guidance. John was to then discuss with the Senate staffers who are drafting the legislation; (2) a paper from OGC detailing their concerns on adverse impact of review by the Merit Systems Protection Board for appeals of CIA employees. Over to you on best legislative approach this issue. 9. Attachments: a/s 11. 12.	3.		-			
were provided to John in conjunction with the HPSCI drafting guidance. John was to then discuss with the Senate staffers who are drafting the legislation; (2) a paper from CXC detailing their concerns on adverse impact of review by the Merit Systems Protection Board for appeals of CIA employees. Over to you on best legislative approach this issue. 9. Attachments: a/s 11. 12. 13.	•			,		
4. conjunction with the HPSCI drafting quidance. John was to then discuss with the Senate staffers who are drafting the legislation; (2) a paper from OCC detailing their concerns on adverse impact of review by the Merit Systems Protection Board for appeals of CIA employees. Over to you on best legislative approach this issue. 9. Attachments: a/s 11. 12. 13.				,		
to then discuss with the Senate staffers who are drafting the legislation; (2) a paper from CGC detailing their concerns on adverse impact of review by the Merit Systems Protection Board for appeals of CIA employees. Over to you on best legislative approach this issue. 9. 10. Attachments: a/s 11.	4.					
Senate staffers who are drafting the legislation; (2) a paper from OGC detailing their concerns on adverse impact of review by the Merit Systems Protection Board for appeals of CIA employees. Over to you on best legislative approach this issue. 9. Attachments: a/s 11. 12.					drafting guidance. John was	
drafting the legislation; (2) a paper from OSC detailing their concerns on adverse impact of review by the Merit Systems Protection Board for appeals of CIA employees. Over to you on best legislative approach this issue. 8. Attachments: a/s 11. 12. 13.					to then discuss with the	
a paper from CSC detailing their concerns on adverse impact of review by the Merit Systems Protection Board for appeals of CIA employees. Over to you on best legislative approach this issue. 9. 10. Attachments: a/s 11. 12.	5.					
their concerns on adverse impact of review by the Merit Systems Protection Board for appeals of CIA employees. Over to you on best legislative approach this issue. 9. Attachments: a/s 11. 12.		· ·		ļ	drafting the legislation; (2)	
impact of review by the Merit Systems Protection Board for appeals of CIA employees. Over to you on best legislative approach this issue. 9. Attachments: a/s 11. 12.			ļ			
Systems Protection Board for appeals of CIA employees. Over to you on best legislative approach this issue. 9. 11. 12. 13.	6.					
appeals of CIA employees. Over to you on best legislative approach this issue. 9. Attachments: a/s 11. 12.						
8. Over to you on best legislative approach this issue. 9. Attachments: a/s 11. 12. 13.		<u> </u>	 			
legislative approach this issue.	'					
9. Attachments: a/s 11. 12. 13. 14.	l	İ				
9. 10. Attachments: a/s 11. 12. 13.	8.					
10. Attachments: a/s 11. 12. 13. 14.					20000	
10. Attachments: a/s 11. 12. 13. 14.						
11. 12. 13.	9.					
11. 12. 13.						
11. 12. 13.		ļ	_		4	
11. 12. 13.	10.			+ 4		
13.		,			a/s	
13.	111	 	 	†	1	
13.						
13.		1	1			
13.	12.				1	
14.				<u> </u>		
	13.		1			
				100	The state of the s	
			<u> </u>			
	14. The Walter of the State of	1				
				14,300		
			1	 	┨ 많은 성화를 밝힌 등의 대리를 받아	
	15.					

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/01/07 : CIA-RDP89-00066R000900010017-7

POINTS TO BE COVERED IN SUPPLEMENTAL RETIREMENT LEGISLATION IN CONJUNCTION WITH HPSCI DRAFTING GUIDANCE

Handcorn 1 & Handcorn Velson 4/10/86

- Amend CIARDS as mechanism to implement retirement programs for CIA, thus retaining Director's existing authorities.
- Ensure that provisions for internal administration include all Agency employees, i.e. Both those hired before and after 1 January 1984.
- Establish CIA administration of retirement funds for all CIA employees.
- Establish CIA internal administration and retention of thrift plan records for all CIA employees.
- Leave open ended the date for implementation of internal administration, i.e. don't lock into a 1 January 87 deadline.